

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/019,667

Applicant(s)

CHOUNG ET AL.

Examiner

Peter A. Hruskoci

Art Unit

1724

## All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) C. A. Rowley.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 20 January 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art Rejections in the prior Office action dated 7-31-03*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record*

## Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*It was agreed to amend claim 1 and cancel claims 4 and 15-20 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patenably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 5 lines 13 and 14, and page 11 lines 2-14 of the specification.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)